

Town of Bridge Creek
S9515 State Road 27
Augusta, WI 54722
Minutes

Joint Meeting with the Town of Bridge Creek Board and the Town of
Bridge Creek Comprehensive Plan Committee
September 3, 2025, Wednesday, 7:00 pm.

1. Call Meeting to Order
2. Pledge of Allegiance
3. Introduction of members present: Rod Eslinger , Ben Bublitz, David Claude, Christina Yellowthunder, Cathy Lea, Jason Hanson, Scott Gerike, Ricky Strauch , Mike Bethke, William Jacobs, and Katherine Gaulke. County Supervisor Zook.
4. Purpose of meeting.
 - a. Background of current Comprehensive Plan
 - i. Carol Peuse gave the committee and board an overview of the comprehensive plan when they first started. In 2024 we created the map for future and existing land use maps.
 - b. Steps to proceed with exploring zoning for the Town of Bridge Creek.
 - i. Rod Eslinger shared with the Planning Committee and Town Board the advantages and disadvantages for Zoning for the Town of Bridge Creek. Rod gave some history to the meeting members starting in 1934. 7 out of 13 have county zoning, and one town has their own zoning. Discussion regarding shoreland and flood planes.
 - ii. Presentation points regarding subdivision, mining, ordinances and how we plan a role with each of these.
 - c. Overview provided by Eau Claire County Planning & Development
 - i. The comprehensive plan is not a governmental control document, we can adopt county zoning, we can do our own zoning, and the last choice is not zoning at all.
 - ii. Wisconsin statutes govern zoning in Eau Claire County, and the zoning is approved by the county board. DNR and state land have different zoning rules than Eau Claire county. We are exploring general zoning for the town and how that would look.
 - iii. Controlling the development in the Town so that items can coexist with each other. 60.62 is the zoning powers and how we would adopt zoning.
 - iv. We would need a zoning ordinance adopting Eau Claire county zoning or creating our own zoning. There are lots of costs associated with running your own zoning, the county wouldn't charge the Town extra for adopting their zoning. We can't have two zoning districts: like county zoning and general Town zoning.
 - v. Process for getting to possible zoning. There will be a lot of work and research needed to explore zoning and possibly adopting it.
 - vi. Community engagement will need to happen and the county zoning map needs to be aligned with the comprehensive plan map.
 - vii. Explained what happens with nonconforming property-property owners have the right to grandfather in what they currently have. There will need to be a form filled out. How do we identify the nonconforming? The original use would stay with it if form filled out however, if the business changed then grandfathering wouldn't apply.

- viii. What is a zoning ordinance? Nine different districts. Developing standards are set already by the county. Still would require the permits from the county and town building notification. Land uses can be requested through the county. Land Use is a zoning permit- all are issued.
- ix. Rod Eslinger took us through all the permits and what they are. Towns can add conditions to the permits if needed.
- x. Creating a zoning map and people want to change their property statutes- would be an application, it would be a rezoning petition.
- xi. Property line and setback discussion on how that works and the process like a variance. There is a special committee that is a quad board that would review that request.
- xii. Next steps:
 - 1. Overlay county zoning map with the Town existing map areas.
 - 2. 65% would be affected
- xiii. Three choice:
 - 1. Staying with what we have- no zoning.
 - 2. County Zoning
 - 3. Town Zoning
- xiv. A great point is letting the community come see the map through multiple meetings, understanding that community being welcome into the process and how it will work.
- xv. Question: Is it possible to go back and say that we don't want zoning and undo it? Two towns have unzoned and one approved Town Zoning. A possible FAQ for the community members.
- xvi. How would the steps be different between town zoning and county zoning? It would be a call to the Town Attorney. County zoning wouldn't be a budget item for the town.
- xvii. Next Steps:
 - 1. FAQ for the Community
 - 2. Overlays of Future land use with the County Zoning Maps. Bring a map from the county and discuss it.
 - 3. Map review and discuss the district/
 - 4. Katherine Gaulke will compile research for FAQs, committee members to research and think of questions/answers, then please email to Katherine kgaulke@townofbridgecreek.org. We will review the FAQs with the Wisconsin Town Association before publishing.

d. Set a possible schedule for upcoming meetings

5. Citizens' Comments

- a. Randy Hill- Doesn't want zoning, he would rather have a large dairy next to him. Law and Ordinance Insurance concerns and he stated he believed there is extra cost
- b. Eli Gingerich- survey going out to the public
- c. Linsey Niznia asked questions- County zoning are business grandfather in. Diesel fuels affecting the children.
- d. Joe Schrock- he was on the committee from before and asked that there was a survey. Asked that another survey be sent out.
- e. Sept 20, 2025-Clean Sweep Event: 8am to 1pm.

6. Future Meeting Dates

- a. October 22, 2025 @ 7pm.

b. Discussion regarding posting and location

7. Adjournment

a. Cathy Lea made the motion to adjourn at 8:36pm and Katherine Gaulke with the second. All yes. Motion Carried.

Town of Bridge Creek
S9515 State Road 27
Augusta, WI 54722

Minutes

Joint Meeting with the Town of Bridge Creek Board and the Town of
Bridge Creek Comprehensive Plan Committee
October 22, 2025, Wednesday, 7:00 pm.

1. Call Meeting to Order at 7:00pm by Carol Peuse
2. Roll Call:
 - a. Eau Claire County Speakers: [Rod Eslinger](#), Ben Bublitz, County Board Supervisor Dane Zook
 - b. Town of Bridge Creek Comprehensive Plan Committee (TBCCPC): David Claude, Cathy Lea, Jason Hanson, Carol Peuse, and Mike Bethke
 - c. Town of Bridge Creek Board Members: [Ricky Strauch](#), William Jacobs, and Jayson Kaeding. Town Board Clerk Elizabeth Pettis
3. Pledge of Allegiance
4. Approval of the Agenda & Proper Posting
 - a. Mike Bethke with the motion to approve the agenda and proper posting. Jay Kaeding with the second. All yes. Motion Carried.
5. Approval of the September 3, 2025 Meeting Minutes
 - a. Cathy Lea with the motion to approve the September 3, 2025 minutes as amended. Jason Hanson with the second. All yes. Motion Carried.
6. Purpose of meeting.
 - a. Interactive Zoning Map Presentation
 - i. Rod Eslinger presented the interactive zoning map. Maps are displayed in the Town Hall for viewing. Noted Chris Straight assisted TBCCPC to create the future land use map. Presentation included how the county is already enforcing shoreland zoning from 1982 (map on the right of the tv). The presentation map is made up of the 1982 and the 2015 (map on the left of the tv) maps that were made by the Planning Committee.
 - ii. Rod Eslinger handed out the Eau Claire County Zoning Districts to the Town Board and Planning Committee. Reviewed zoning draft maps and overlay district maps.
 - iii. Rod Eslinger discussed the history of land use in the township. Provided document on general zoning district lot size comparisons document (created June 13, 2024)
 - iv. In the Town, the building notification documents what a building was built for. Reviewed how those parcels would be determined for zoning versus assessment labels.
 1. Lewallen Savage Yard was an example. It became residential with a hobby farm that could be labeled A2. Other options were also discussed. Reviewed 151 standard through the state control nutrient management program for agricultural use.
 2. The parcel north of the Town of Bridge Creek Property was an example. In the past it was A2 and industrial. Single parcels may not have two codes per parcel.
 - v. Demonstration on how to work through assigning map overlays or zoning districts to the current map. Discussion on how to review the parcels and talk about what it was, how it is being used, and what it might look like in the future. Reviewed differences between conditional use permits versus RECORD OF NONCONFORMING USE documentation.
 - vi. Discussion on Jayson Kaeding property per Jayson Kaeding request: cottage industry- contractor or excavator, as long as it is recorded- the property owner could continue using the land as they have been. In the future the conditional use would be used to expand the business if it exceeds standard zoning practices. The Town needs to document the uses as of right now (RECORD OF NONCOMFORMING USE) so it can remain in use in the future.

- vii. Supervisor Zook- provided details on how the process works at the County Board and Planning and Development Committee level. He highlighted that local decisions are key for current and future use and that the Township maintains full authority for conditional use and future zoning changes. He highlighted that the initial overlay map decisions should be detailed, accurate, and include an evaluation of the history of the property's uses. . Additional information was provided about Farmland Preservation designations and how funding can be tied to that for farming and forestry. This would be a voluntary and self-certifying program available to farmers and woodland owners under county zoning practices.
- viii. Discussed the challenges of completing the RECORD OF NONCONFORMANCE USE document. This document will be necessary so that everyone can keep what they have.
- ix. Carol Peuse asked how a currently operating sawmill would be classified if within the agricultural area (A1- conditional use). Rod Eslinger explained that certain sized sawmill operations are allowed in farmland areas, but that industrial sized operations may require conditional use (if new) or designation of nonconforming use (if currently operating).
- x. Nonconforming Use on properties- if it is currently there it would be recorded on the RECORD OF NONCONFORMING USE document at the time of adopting county zoning. This provides protection to continue use, sell to a new buyer for continued use, or expand operation if desired (if meets conditional use). .. Neighbors can't stop existing uses. Expansion may require the owner to follow the new zoning code and conform with the zoning rules. Property owners could petition to change the zoning if significant changes are desired for land use in that area. This would trigger a public hearing so that the township members and neighbors could voice their opinions.
- xi. Jayson Kaeding asked what happens if he expanded his business to be "The New Haas". Since his current business is operated out of his rural home, he would have to petition the Town to change the future land use map, and change the zoning labels. Jayson Kaeding could have 5 employees (beyond himself and current family members) before he would have to petition for zoning changes. Vehicles and equipment currently used for his operation are allowed to be parked on his property and that would not change with the adoption of zoning.
- xii. The committee discussed how e parcels have a story and history; and the need to understand that as they make decisions about map overlays.
- xiii. Discussed how an operating greenhouse fit in. Determined that it depends if it is a commercial (selling to the public- retail), agricultural use, or some other designation that depends on current use.
- xiv. Jayson Kaeding asked about what changes would be needed if he would start selling items such as rocks and other landscaping items from his home. Further details provided on possible options for the zoning assignments that could be applied to that neighborhood that would allow for such practices versus completing a RECORD OF NONCONFORMING USE document for this example. Discussed the importance of completing accurate and detailed paperwork so that current use is protected. Can include documentation like site plan, beacon view, pictures, permits, etc.
- xv. County Supervisor Zook explained how the zoning labels change process is and what affects the zoning labels. The property owner takes the application to Eau Claire County Planning and Development, the applicant will contact the Town, Eau Claire County will provide supporting documentation, if the Town objects to the new zoning the Eau Claire County can't approve it over the Town's objection. The county has the attorney to help the Town with the county code and enforce it. There is no additional fee for using the county for legal services, issue permits, or law enforcement.
- xvi. Agricultural Preservation, if the owner has all the requirements in place: soil and water, nutrition plan, etc., then they can petition for Farmland Preservation.

- b. Begin to review FAQ regarding Adopting County Zoning
 - i. Mike Bethke with the motion to table item 5B until the next meeting. Jason Hanson with the second. All Yes. Motion Carried.
- c. Next Steps:
 - i. Start with a map- what parcels should be changed so that it aligns with the future land use map that was previously adopted. Start in one area and work your way up. County forest can be skipped as that will not change. Forward question to Carol Peuse.
 - ii. Subgrouping will begin working on and practice assigning zoning map overlays on the properties north and west of 27 as it is small.
- 7. Citizens' Comments
 - a. No Citizen Comments
- 8. Future Meeting Dates
 - a. November 5, 2025 at 7:00pm Town of Bridge Creek Hall
- 9. Adjournment
 - a. Ricky Strauch with the motion for adjournment at 8:57 pm and Cathy Lea with the second. All yes. Motion Carried.

Town of Bridge Creek
S9515 State Road 27
Augusta, WI 54722

Minutes

Joint Meeting with the Town of Bridge Creek Board and the Town of
Bridge Creek Comprehensive Plan Committee
November 5, 2025, Wednesday, 7:00 pm.

1. Call Meeting to Order @ 7:00pm by Carol Peuse.
2. Roll Call:
 - a. Eau Claire County Speakers: Rod Eslinger and Ben Bublitz.
 - b. Town of Bridge Creek Comprehensive Plan Committee (TBCCPC): David Claude, Cathy Lea, Steven Erdman, Carol Peuse, and Mike Bethke
 - c. Town of Bridge Creek Board Members: Ricky Strauch, Katherine Gaulke, William Jacobs, and Jayson Kaeding. Town Board Clerk: Elizabeth Pettis
3. Pledge of Allegiance
4. Approval of the Agenda & Proper Posting
 - a. Carol Peuse asked for confirmation of posting location. Clerk Pettis confirmed the timely posting of the Comprehensive Plan Committee 11/5/25 meeting agenda with notices at City Hall, Post Office, Town Website and Town Bulletin Board.
 - b. Ricky Strauch with the motion to approve the agenda and proper posting, Katherine Gaulke with the second. All yes. Motion Carried.
5. Approval of the October 22, 2025 Meeting Minutes
 - a. Cathy Lea asked that we amend the minutes to include the following documents to the public record minute packet: RECORD OF NONCONFORMING USE document, The EAU CLAIRE COUNTY ZONING DISTRICTS: MINIMUM LOT SIZE CHART (Last updated June 13, 2024), zoning district overlay maps of Town of Bridge Creek. .
 - b. Cathy Lea with the motion to approve the minutes with the added documents from the October 22, 2025 meeting as attachments. William Jacobs with the second. All yes. Motion Carried. Katherine Gaulke abstained from voting as she wasn't present on October 22, 2025.
6. Purpose of meeting
 - a. Interactive Zoning Map Presentation
 - i. Rod Eslinger gave a quick overview of the last meeting and what was discussed.
 - ii. RECORD OF NONCONFORMING USE document review; asking that all parcel owners fill that out so it is on file at the County. It was discussed how this is essentially the process for "grandfathering" uses and it is transferable with sales of properties.
 - iii. The Comprehensive Planning Committee reviewed parcels in the Northern region of the Town and towards the West. Citizen comment regarding small parcels previously used as a retail and rental business. The current zoning district is noted as an F-1:Farmland/Agriculture parcel if zoning is adopted. F-1 designation preserves land for agricultural use, limits intensive non-farm development, and protects natural resources. Discussion on the allowed uses of F-1, which include agricultural operations, single-family dwellings (often limited by lot size), farm-related businesses, accessory

structures. The existing use label is commercial property. The previous owner was running a canoeing rental business and had alcohol licensing. Discussion on the future land use of this property and changing to a C-2 property if zoning proceeds. C-2: General Commercial provides areas for general commercial, retail, and service businesses that serve a large portion of the community. This would be a more high-traffic area with allowable uses such as a canoe shop, short-term rentals, retail stores, restaurants, bars, hotels/motels. Rod and Ben made note of this suggestion in their zoning software.

- iv. Discussion of other zoning impacts on the Town. In particular, frontyard setbacks would be part of zoning adoption at 50ft from State Highway. Rod and Ben explained that a 50ft setback provides a greater buffer from the street, which can improve traffic safety (better sight lines for drivers and pedestrians) and ensure adequate access for emergency vehicles (fire trucks, ambulances) and utility maintenance. Rod and Ben discussed how 50ft setbacks are also useful during potential future needs for road widening or utility installation within the public right-of-way, ensuring that homes and businesses won't need to be moved or significantly impacted. This 50ft setback could be an area with parking. The Town Board and Comprehensive Plan Committee would like more information on the set back for residences as it is a question that is asked a lot.
- v. Discussion on if the Town adopts zoning, every property in the Town will be assigned a specific zoning designation that will determine its permitted future use. Therefore, the Committee discussed the importance of the detailed review process and potential ways to engage the public and when; along with how to review the maps. It was discussed how the review must ensure that the proposed zoning map accurately reflects and aligns with the current uses (Nonconformance Sheets to be completed by residents for grandfathering), the Town's official Comprehensive Plan and the planned Future Land Use Map. Rod gave an overview of the existing Mylar map data included in the Future Land Use Map.
- vi. A large commercial parcel was reviewed. Active work on a reclamation plan is ongoing with many potential uses discussed. However, the parcel is still mostly forestry. The parcel is permitted for mining. Future land use map has the label for the parcel as commercial use. Discussion regarding what could the land be labeled as the Board and Committee do not want to be making decisions for the owner. The Town Assessor has the land labeled for commercial use. Rod and Ben stated this is appropriate as long as the property owners are keeping up with annual permits and reporting with the County. Discussion of what campground falls under, A-1: Exclusive/Intensive Agricultural or A-3: General Agricultural/Rural Residential. A-1 purpose is protection of viable, productive farmland; and designed to support and sustain intensive agricultural operations, usually 40+ acres. A-1 is strictly limited to farming activities whereas A-3 has more flexibility. A-3 includes general farming, forestry, single-family dwellings (more easily permitted than A-1), and potentially low-impact home-based businesses (with a Conditional Use Permit). A-3 purpose is to balance between agricultural use and low-density rural housing; and it acts as a buffer or transition zone; usually 2-10 acres.
- vii. Discussion on F-2 Forestry Districts. F-2 could have a conditional use permit for a RV park as allowed uses of F-2 are forestry operations (timber harvesting, reforestation), low-impact recreational uses (hiking, hunting), conservation activities, and very limited

low-density residential use. F-2 main purpose is conservation and resource protection with the main goal being to preserve and manage large, contiguous tracts of forest land, protect water quality, and maintain wildlife habitats.

- viii. Discussion regarding rezoning public hearing and notices being sent out to the surrounding residents and parcel owners.
 - ix. Discussion of a single residential parcel between government and commercial property. The current owner is running a small business that is primarily delivering a service (welding) with a small amount of associated retail. The owner plans to continue to use it as residence, so residential would fit better. The Assessor is taxing it as a residential. A-2 would be more compatible with his current use. The owner could complete the Nonconforming Use Form for his services that he provides.
 - x. Mike's Buckhorn should be designated C-2 not A-1 or C-1.
 - xi. The next two parcels south of the commercial property are residential.
 - xii. Moved map discussion to the west of the Dells Mill Pond Hamlet area. The current parcel is in a federal conservation program for Karner Blue butterflies with 5 years remaining on the program. Previously labeled as A-1 farming area. Discussion of A-1 versus AP: Agricultural Preservation discussion on what is DATCAP farmland that is a preservation program. Requirements for AP would require Tim from the county to present and explain what that would take as AP zone is specifically designed to meet state standards for the highest level of farmland protection; usually 35-40 acres It was noted that landowners in an AP district are eligible to claim the Wisconsin Farmland Preservation Tax Credit if the local ordinance is certified by the state, providing a direct financial benefit for preserving their land.
 - xiii. Joan Delzer inquired how solar farming is impacted by zoning. Discussion on this question clarified that solar farms can keep current use and future land would be a conditional use on that land and require a public hearing if zoned. Rod Eslinger explained how different labels would affect that land use.
 - xiv. Residential parcels with a small shop were discussed within the Dells Mill Pond Hamlet area. Dells Mill Area is all the Hamlet area. This is a creative area with a lot of flexibility. The mill would be C1.
- b. Begin to review FAQ regarding Adopting County Zoning
- i. Carol Peuse wants to table until the next meeting due to time. . Katherine Gaulke asked for edits to be emailed to Cathy Lea and that all Committee and Board members review the FAQs in their packet and start thinking about other questions/answers they would like to add. Carol Peuse stated she has printed copies of questions that have been posed by the public on Facebook and thinks these should be included in the FAQs. Katherine Gaulke also requested that Rod and Ben review and give feedback on the accuracy of the proposed FAQs. Clerk Pettis asked that we move this item first on the next agenda.
 - ii. Cathy Lea with the motion to table item 5b. Jay Kaeding with the second. All yes. Motion carried.
- c. Next Steps
- i. Review and discuss the Agricultural Area in the South part of Bridge Creek and the interest for AP zoning.

- ii. Review and discuss the Northeast section of Bridge Creek; have a conversation with those landowners regarding what they are thinking.
 - iii. Once the Committee has reviewed and has a proposed map for the public; host an open house for the community, with day-time hours and evening hours so that residents with different schedules and transportation have the opportunity to ask questions.
 - iv. Review and distribute to the public FAQs; will be the first item on the next Committee agenda.
 - v. Review and discuss more parcels with their labels.
 - vi. Establish subcommittees for engagement of landowners, community, to review parcels outside of meetings (summaries/findings/suggestions at whole committee meetings).
7. Citizens' Comments
- a. Joan Delzer provided comments throughout the meeting, as did Eric Ries from the VFW.
8. Future Meeting Dates
- a. December 3, 2025 at 6:30pm.
9. Adjournment @ 8:57pm
- a. Ricky Strauch made the motion to adjourn at 8:57pm. Jay Kaeding with the second. All Yes. Motion Carried.

Town of Bridge Creek
S9515 State Road 27
Augusta, WI 54722

MINUTES

Joint Meeting with the Town of Bridge Creek Board and the Town of
Bridge Creek Comprehensive Plan Committee
December 3, 2025, Wednesday, 6:30 pm.

1. Call Meeting to Order @ 6:30pm
2. Roll Call:
 - a. Eau Claire County Speakers: Rod Eslinger and Ben Bublitz.
 - b. Town of Bridge Creek Comprehensive Plan Committee (TBCCPC): David Claude, Cathy Lea, Steven Erdman, Carol Peuse, Justin Niznik, and Mike Bethke. Absent: Jason Hanson.
 - c. Town of Bridge Creek Board Members: Ricky Strauch, Katherine Gaulke, Scott Gerike, William Jacobs, and Jayson Kaeding. Town Board Clerk: Elizabeth Pettis
3. Pledge of Allegiance
4. Approval of the Agenda & Proper Posting
 - a. Clerk Pettis confirmed the posting of the agenda on November 15, 2025 and the location where it was posted.
 - b. Ricky Strauch with the motion to approve the proper posting of the agenda and Jay Kaeding with the second. All Yes. Motion Carried.
 - c. Mike Bethke with the motion to approve the Agenda as present and Steve Erdman with the second. All Yes. Motion Carried.
5. Approval of the November 5, 2025 Meeting Minutes
 - a. Jay Kaeding with the motion to approve the November 5, 2025 Meeting Minutes and Cathy Lea with second. All Yes. Motion Carried.
6. Purpose of meeting.
 - a. Begin to review FAQ regarding Adopting County Zoning
 - i. Carol Peuse stated the items on the agenda.
 - ii. The board reviewed the FAQ for the presentation to the public. All questions reviewed were verbally read, along with the proposed answers.
 - iii. What is Zoning?
 1. No edits offered
 - iv. Why is the Town of Bridge Creek considering County Zoning?
 1. No edits offered
 - v. What is the role of the Comprehensive Plan Committee?
 1. Edits offered to include more specific details
 - vi. What are the benefits of zoning?
 1. Edits offered to reduce redundancy and better clarify specific points offered, more editing needed for:
 - a. Efficient Enforcement
 - b. Local Input: explain step process: Planning Commission, Town Board, County Oversight, then the County Board. Adjust wording from citizen to landowner.
 - c. Comprehensive Planning: No edits.

- d. Environmental Safeguards: Discussion on wording adjustments as most wetlands and shorelands are statutorily already protected.
 - e. Community Character: No edits
 - f. Conflict Prevention: remove second listing as it is redundant
 - g. Public Health and Safety: No edits
 - h. All remaining items listed had no additional edits
- vii. What potential drawbacks should be considered?
 - 1. Loss of Full Local Control- No response
 - a. New Regulations-discussion on changes that would occur with permitting, following building codes (no changes), and changes to setbacks that are discussed later in the document.
 - b. Discussion on potential changes to Town building notification form and fees that would no longer be required.
 - 2. Rules on Nonconforming Uses-
 - a. Nonconforming Use Form and documenting once zoning is adopted. Affected by footprint or if you change the use of the building.
- viii. What percentage of our town is already covered by zoning?
 - 1. The Town of Bridge Creek is approximately 40% in zoned areas. Edits offered on how to properly word the overlay districts and explain how that is related to “zoned” areas.
- ix. What are the different zoning districts?
 - 1. Remove the zone areas and use Appendix A
- x. What distinguishes county zoning from the town zoning?
 - 1. Town Zoning versus County Zoning: Discussion centering on how adopting zoning has no effect on the building inspections process. May allow for removal of the building notification application and fee.
 - 2. Discussion of Increased expenses to the taxpayer to have local Town Zoning (versus adopting County Zoning).
- xi. Mike Bethke makes the motion to end the FAQ reviewing at the Town Zoning versus County Zoning on page 4 and allow for public comments that includes additional edits pertaining to public comments. Steve Erdman with the second. Discussion that Public Comment changes will be in a new color. All yes. Motion Carried.
- b. Interactive Zoning Map Presentation
 - i. No Action
- c. Next Steps
 - i. Continue with FAQ’s

7. Citizens' Comments

- a. Joe Yoder- Grandparents’ house question- it depends on the unit structure and what is separating the house. Answered completely in the FAQ. Ben Bublitz (Land Use) and Hannah Wirth can answer questions. Fred Dahlke (Inspector) also can answer any questions.
- b. Dennis Kirkham- Junk equipment on properties and the possibility of building a wall from the road and making the Town/County look nice. Questions regarding roads and how it is labeled. The town has Short-Term Rentals that would register and be called cottage industrial. Short Term rentals are an issue around the state. Existing junk yards could be kept as they are; if they didn’t operate for 12 months they would be affected.

- c. Bob Paddock- not a resident/ is a parcel owner- multiple use dwelling and 1 year lack of use. He feels that answers provided are not factual and need more information. Bob is concerned with the board as he feels they have not reached out to the community. Also, he feels that the committee is not representative of the community (this was discussed at multiple town regular board meetings). F1 will have follow up questions during that time.
- d. Mose Borntreger- Questioned how this could possibly affect the City of Augusta extraterritorial zoning area.
- e. Jacobs Borntreger- Sawmill burns down what would the process be? New business?
 - i. Could be rebuilt in that footprint only.
 - ii. New Business would be based on zoning districts.

8. Future Meeting Dates

- a. January 7, 2026
 - i. Discussion regarding posting meeting notices in the Ad-Delite.
- b. Justin Niznik is the new committee member.
- c. Same agenda is confirmed with changes to the date, remove the word begin with continue.

9. Cathy Lea motioned to "table" 5b and c and Katherine Gaulke with the second. All yes. Motion Carried.

10. Adjournment @ 8:29pm

- a. Ricky Strauch made the motion to adjourn at 8:29pm and Jay Kaeding with the second. All yes. Motion Carried.